

REMARKS

The specification is amended to cancel three surplus words on page, line 6 and to correct the reference number of the first end of the pivotable member to be -- 332 --.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Besides, claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lai (US 5,836,501) in view of Ho (US 6,427,896). However, the Examiner has pointed out that claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Responsive to this, claim 4 is deleted and claim 1 is amended which is substantially the combination of original claims 1 and 4 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior arts cited by the Examiner. It is believed that, the amended claim 1 has disclosed a structure whose construction and function are quite different from and patentably distinguishable over the cited prior arts. Therefore, it is believed that, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) should be removed, and the amended claim 1 should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Chen-fa Huang
CHEN-FA HUANG